

(4)

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH BILASPUR

Writ Petition (C) No. 6455 / 2006

Petitioner	Smt. Leela Bai, W/o Kejuram Verma, Aged About 70 Years, R/o Vill. Kurud – Silyaari, PC No. 85, Teh. & Distt. Raipur

VERSUS

Respondents	1. Additional Tehsildar, Dharsiwa, Teh. & Distt. Raipur 2. Shiv Kumar, 39 Yrs. 3. Shankarlal, 34 Yrs. 2-3 S/o Ramadhar Dhruv & R/o Vill. Kurud – Silyaari, PC No. 85, Teh. & Distt. Raipur

P.R. No. 6395/06
Presented by Shri Rajesh Shrivastava
dated 15-11-06

PETITION U/ART. 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(34)

मामला क्रमांक W.P.6455 **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

29.11.2006

Shri Raja Sharma, counsel for the petitioner.

Heard on admission.

By way of this writ petition, filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged the validity of order dated 15.9.2006, passed by the Additional Tehsildar, Dharsiwa in a proceeding under Section 250 of the C.G.Land Revenue Code.

Admittedly, the statutory remedies are available against the aforesaid order.

In view of the above, I am not inclined to admit this petition for hearing.

The petition is dismissed in limine.

However, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy available under the law.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in filing the appeal, looking to the date of passing of the order, the appeal shall be barred by limitation.

Needless to say that there are provisions for filing appropriate applications for condonation of delay and if the requisite grounds are taken up, the applications are always entertained. The petitioner may also avail the aforesaid remedy by filing an appropriate application for condonation of delay in accordance with law and if such an application is filed, that shall be considered by the Court below in an objective manner with a view to finally decide the matter between the parties in dispute.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Sunita

P.T.O.